



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bux 1450 Aksamatas, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uppin.gov.

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,942	04/16/2001	Takashi Shoji	Q61182	5374
7590 10/15/2003		EXAMINER		
SUGIIRUE, MION, ZINN,			HANNAIIER, CONSTANTINE	
MACPEAK & 2100 Pennsylva	SEAS, PLLC ania Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OC 20037-3202		2878	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/834,942	SHOJI, TAKASHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Constantine Hannaher	2878

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspond nce address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1 [135(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication, reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be proprieted. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be present application to become ABANDONED (30, 65, 9133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earend pattern term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
·					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-16 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13 and 16</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)     4)     Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)       2)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     5)     Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)       3)     Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)     6)     Other					

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
 Applicant's submission filed on September 2, 2003 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out this invention.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not describe *support* of the flat plate-shaped base plate 6 by any portion of the top region. Instead, placement of the flat plate-shaped base plate 6 into the fitting member 7 along its bottom region supports element 6 vertically.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the recording photo-conductive layer and the reading photo-conductive layer" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. No photo-conductive layers, regardless of type, are established by claims 1 or 13.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-6, 9-13, and 16 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: although it would not be a reasonable proposition that the imaging member of Fender *et al.* is supported against Earth's gravity above laser **5** and mirror **6** by free space, nevertheless, a "case housing" of the type recited in sole independent claim 1 is not shown; although it would not be credible that glass plate **21** of Tonami *et al.* is not "fixed" to the vacuum tube **11**, nevertheless, a "case housing" of the type recited is not suggested.

#### Response to Submission(s)

- 8. The amendment filed September 2, 2003 has been entered.
- 9. The advisory action mailed July 16, 2003 plainly states that the proposed amendment submitted July 2, 2003 will be entered "For purposes of Appeal..." Since there has not been an appeal under 37 CFR 1.191(a) of any rejection in this application, it is not clear on what basis applicant's representative should have formed the belief that the amendment to claim 9 had been entered. In view of the current treatment of amendments under 37 CFR 1.121 the issue is moot as the cancellation of claims 7 and 8 and the amendment of claim 9 is accomplished by the listing of claims submitted September 2, 2003.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (703) 308-4850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Priday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ch

Onstantine Hannaher Primary Examiner